FY23 Actual FY24 Actual FY25 Projection FY25 Target FY26 Target

Long-Term Issue - Community Development

Poverty, homelessness, lack of quality affordable housing, concerns about the quality of education, decreasing community involvement in neighborhood schools, and declining community vitality, if not adequately addressed, will result in:

- Increased crime and decreased perception of public safety;
- Decline in public health;
- Reduced ability to meet demand for public services;
- Decreased property values and neighborhood decline;
- Reduced ability to attract economic development;
- Reduced ability to improve education outcomes;
- Increased cost burden for low and moderate-income households; and
- Decreased satisfaction in community appearance.

Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue

- Stabilize neighborhoods through the Strong Neighborhoods Initiative and Historic Perservation efforts.
- Strengthen at-risk neighborhoods through the use of community development and social services programs.
- Strengthen relationships with partners, including the Public School Districts and the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, to develop programs and strategies targeted to the specific needs of the community.
- Enhance community appearance through improving design and development regulations and facilitating public art projects.
- Seek additional partners and resources to increase permanent supportive housing and emergency shelters for homeless families and individuals.
- Concentrate community development resources in target revitalization areas for economic development, housing activities and public facilities for low and moderate-income populations.
- Increase the availability of safe, quality affordable housing by securing funding to build new units and rehabilitate existing units.















FY23 Actual FY24 Actual FY25 Projection FY25 Target FY26 Target

Long-Term Issue - Community Development

Strategic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term Issue

Annually, the Planning Department will address homelessness and concerns about community vitality as evidenced by:

- 90% of people who are homeless who remain in permanent housing for more than six months.
- The percent of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city is above the national average, as reported by the annual Resident Survey.
- The percent of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety is above the national average, as reported by the annual Resident Survey.

| 788 | % of people who are homeless who remain in permanent housing for more than six months | 88% | 80% | 80% | 90% | 90% |
|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 789 | % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city | 51% | 56% | 56% | 56% | 56% |
| 790 | % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety | 50% | 57% | 51% | 54% | 54% |















FY23 Actual FY24 Actual FY25 Projection FY25 Target FY26 Target

Long-Term Issue - Urban Revitalization

If not addressed, older districts and neighborhoods will continue to experience increased vulnerability and decline, resulting in:

- Vacant or underutilized properties and lack of new investment;
- Higher costs to the City to provide services for residents and businesses;
- The inability to generate optimal tax revenue to pay for essential City services;
- Continued deterioration of aging commercial districts and neighborhoods;
- Inadequate number of quality, affordable residential products;
- Underutilization of existing properties and infrastructure;
- A lack of transportation options; and
- Lost opportunities for economic development.

Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue

- Guide the allocation of resources including grant funds and capital expenditures as stated in planokc.
- Focus Planning Department capacity and technical assistance on revitalization areas in need of revitalization.
- Guide appropriate infill development and revitalization through appropriate zoning and design review tools.
- Promote neighborhood stability and the rehabilitation and the adaptive reuse of historic properties as stated in preserveokc.
- Strengthen capacity of commercial districts to deliver more meaningful social, economic, and aesthetic results through the Commercial District Revitalization Program.
- Strategically concentrate neighborhood revitalization efforts in the Strong Neighborhoods Initiative areas to reverse decline and leverage private investment and support.

Strategic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term Issue

Annually, the Planning Department will influence revitalization and redevelopment within the urbanized areas of the city as evidenced by:

- 100% of participating Commercial District Revitalization Program districts will maintain or increase total property value.
- 65% of citizens surveyed will be satisfied with each attribute in response to the following question: Please rate your satisfaction with the following attributes
 of your neighborhood:
 - Safety
 - Appearance
 - Property Maintenance
 - Sense of Community
 - Amenities (parks, sidewalks, street
 trees)
 - Overall Quality

791 % of Commercial District Revitalization Program (CDRP) districts 93% 86% 86% 100% 100% that maintain or increase in total market value from one year to the next

⁷⁹² % of resident satisfied with neighborhood attributes 51% 65% N/A 65% 65%















FY26 Performance Supplemental G-91

FY23 Actual FY24 Actual FY25 Projection FY25 Target FY26 Target

Long-Term Issue - Sustainable Growth

Failure to guide the city's growth in a way that promotes quality of life, efficient service provision, and human, environmental, and economic health will result in:

- Increased costs to provide public services;
- Lower level of City services;
- Diminished neighborhood stability and durability;
- Exacerbation of public health issues;
- Continued stress on capacity of public schools to improve educational outcomes;
- Reduced functionality of the multi-modal transportation system and capacity to support it;
- Reduction in quality, accessibility, and availability of natural resources (air, water, natural areas);
- Increased number of vacant, abandoned and dilapidated buildings and properties;
- Diminished options for access to community services and employment opportunities;
- Increased infrastructure construction and maintenance costs for taxpayers;
- Failure to meet federal air quality standards will impact federal funding, efficiency of transportation infrastructure projects, and the ability to support new or expanding industry;
- Diminished attractiveness for economic development;
- Increased economic and social disparity; and
- Diminished ability to meet community demand for quality of life services and amenities.

Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue

- Implement Comprehensive Plan (planokc);
- Implement the Sustainability Plan (adaptokc) with increased focus on air quality, energy, and climate resilience programs.
- Develop policies, strategies, and incentives to increase the variety of housing types and affordability.
- Modify codes, regulations and policies to ensure consistency with the comprehensive plan (planokc).
- Promote the implementation of a multi-modal transportation system and continue to implement bikewalkokc.















FY26 Performance Supplemental G-92

FY23 Actual FY24 Actual FY25 Projection FY25 Target FY26 Target

Long-Term Issue - Sustainable Growth

Strategic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term Issue

The Planning Department will use planoke to guide the city's growth in a way that promotes quality of life, efficiency of service provision, and human, environmental, and economic health as evidenced by:

- Annually, 19% of development/redevelopment will be in the inner-loop.
- Increase the percentage of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation from 2.13% to 2.16% by 2024.
- The past five-year average of annual carbon monoxide highest 8-hour average (parts per million) from OKC North monitoring station (1037) will decline.
- The past five-year average of annual nitrogen dioxide highest 1-hour average (parts per million) from OKC North monitoring station (1037) will decline.
- The past five-year average of annual particulate matter (PM-2.5) highest 24-hour average (parts per million) from OKC North monitoring station (1037) will decline.

| % of new development and redevelopment that occurs in the inner-loop | 1/% | 16% | 24% | 19% | 19% |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation | 2.09% | 1.84% | 1.84% | 2.16% | 2.38% |
| nistrative - Executive Leadership | | | | | |
| eal % of key measures and strategic results achieved | 53% | 43% | 29% | 75% | 75% |
| % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city | 51% | 56% | 56% | 56% | 56% |
| % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety | 50% | 57% | 51% | 54% | 54% |
| nistrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs | | | | | |
| 🖁 % change in City investment in public art | 351% | -74% | -74% | 0% | 10% |
| % change in Non-1% for Art projects annually | 40% | 360% | N/A | 10% | -9% |
| % change in Non-1% for Art projects completed | 6% | 100% | N/A | 20% | 33% |
| % change in 1% for Art projects annually | 275% | 273% | 273% | 3% | -11% |
| % change in 1% for Art projects completed | 0% | N/A | N/A | 7% | -20% |
| # of 1% for Art projects completed annually | 12 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 12 |
| # of 1% for Art projects managed annually | 33 | 30 | 30 | 37 | 33 |
| | inner-loop % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation istrative - Executive Leadership % of key measures and strategic results achieved % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety istrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs % change in City investment in public art % change in Non-1% for Art projects annually % change in 1% for Art projects completed % change in 1% for Art projects completed # of 1% for Art projects completed # of 1% for Art projects completed annually | % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation istrative - Executive Leadership % of key measures and strategic results achieved 53% % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety istrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs % change in City investment in public art % change in Non-1% for Art projects annually % change in Non-1% for Art projects completed % change in 1% for Art projects completed | inner-loop % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation **Inistrative - Executive Leadership** **W of key measures and strategic results achieved 53% 43% **S of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city **W of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety **Inistrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs** **W change in City investment in public art 351% -74% **S change in Non-1% for Art projects annually 40% 360% **S change in Non-1% for Art projects completed 6% 100% **S change in 1% for Art projects completed 0% N/A #* of 1% for Art projects completed annually 12 11 | inner-loop % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation **Istrative - Executive Leadership** **Note of key measures and strategic results achieved 53% 43% 29% % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety **Inistrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs** **Note of the city investment in public art 351% -74% -74% % change in Non-1% for Art projects annually 40% 360% N/A % change in Non-1% for Art projects completed 6% 100% N/A % change in 1% for Art projects completed 0% N/A N/A # of 1% for Art projects completed annually 12 11 11 | inner-loop % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation **Sistrative - Executive Leadership** **Soft key measures and strategic results achieved 53% 43% 29% 75% % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety **Instrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs** **Schange in City investment in public art 351% -74% -74% 0% % change in Non-1% for Art projects annually 40% 360% N/A 10% % change in Non-1% for Art projects completed 6% 100% N/A 20% % change in 1% for Art projects completed 0% N/A N/A 7% # of 1% for Art projects completed annually 12 11 11 15 |















| | | FY23 Actual | FY24 Actual | FY25 Projection | FY25 Target | FY26 Target |
|-------|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Admii | nistrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs | | | | | |
| 805 | # of Non-1% for Art projects completed annually | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 806 | # of Non-1% for Art projects managed annually | 14 | 18 | 18 | 11 | 10 |
| Admi | nistrative - Office of Sustainability | | | | | |
| 807 | $ holdsymbol{\widehat{V}}$ # of adaptokc policies initiated by "start by" date | 42 | 46 | 46 | 60 | 60 |
| 808 | # of Air Quality policies initiated by the (start by date) according to the plan | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 809 | # of Energy Productivity policies initiated (by start date) according to the plan | 13 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 17 |
| 810 | # of Natural Built policies initiated by the (start by date) according to the plan | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| 811 | # of outreach and education event participants | 1,591 | 1,203 | 1,203 | 800 | 900 |
| 812 | # of outreach and education events held | 48 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 40 |
| 813 | # of Waste Reduction policies initiated by the (start by date) according to the plan | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Curre | nt Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning | | | | | |
| 814 | $ begin{smallmatrix} ho$ % of rezoning applications that are a PUD or SPUD | 69% | 75% | 78% | 67% | 67% |
| 815 | % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 816 | # of development applications reviewed by staff | 223 | 258 | 203 | 250 | 250 |
| 817 | # of preliminary plats reviewed by staff | 31 | 61 | 26 | 50 | 50 |
| Curre | nt Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Comm | nunity Appear | ance | | | |
| 818 | eals % of residents surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community | 51% | 51% | 51% | 63% | 63% |
| 819 | % of change in property values within all Design Districts | 6% | 2% | 2% | 7% | 7% |
| 820 | # of applications reviewed in design districts | 341 | 315 | 341 | 500 | 500 |
| 821 | # of Historic Landmark Design ations reviewed | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 |















| | | | FY23 Actual | FY24 Actual | FY25 Projection | FY25 Target | FY26 Target |
|-----|-------|--|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cur | rent | Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Comm | unity Appeara | ance | | | |
| 822 | | # of National Register Nominations reviewed | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| Ηοι | ısing | Community Development - Community Development | t | | | | |
| 823 | | % of poverty within the Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Area (NRSA) | 28% | 28% | 28% | 27% | 29% |
| 824 | | % of resident satisfied with neighborhood attributes | 51% | 65% | N/A | 65% | 65% |
| 825 | | % of residents that describe their perceptions of safety and security in their neighborhoods as safe or very safe in the City's annual Resident Survey | 73% | 72% | 73% | 73% | 73% |
| 826 | | % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with property maintenance in their neighborhoods in the City's annual Resident Survey | 60% | 63% | 60% | 62% | 62% |
| 827 | | # of down payment assistances provided | 13 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 20 |
| 828 | (A)A | # of federally assisted affordable rental housing units | 10,644 | 11,673 | 11,673 | 9,022 | 10,500 |
| 829 | | # of housing rehabilitations completed | 100 | 144 | 51 | 101 | 104 |
| 830 | | # of housing units assisted or built through all program services | 103 | 153 | 128 | 150 | 139 |
| 831 | | # of new housing units constructed | 4 | 8 | 76 | 10 | 10 |
| Ηοι | ısing | Community Development - Grant and Financial Mana | gement | | | | |
| 832 | | % of grant awards that are in compliance with the terms of their agreement | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 833 | | 🖁 % of match versus award for infrastructure grants | 8% | 18% | 18% | 20% | 40% |
| 834 | | # of grant funding agreements managed | 82 | 69 | 71 | 60 | 50 |
| 835 | | # of infrastructure-related grant applied for annually | 17 | 28 | 28 | 7 | 15 |
| 836 | | \$ of infrastructure-related grant match | 800,000 | 8,625,727 | 8,625,727 | 1,250,000 | 10,178,639 |
| 837 | | \$ of infrastructure-related grants awarded to the City of Oklahoma City | 10,458,598 | 47,795,545 | 47,795,545 | 5,000,000 | 15,342,611 |















| | | | FY23 Actual | FY24 Actual | FY25 Projection | FY25 Target | FY26 Target |
|------|----------|--|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Hou | ising Co | ommunity Development - Homelessness Services | | | | | |
| 838 | 1.75 | % of people who are homeless who remain in permanent nousing for more than six months | 88% | 80% | 80% | 90% | 90% |
| 839 | (| of People who were formerly homeless housed through the Continuum of Care Program Permanent Supportive Housing Program | 743 | 791 | 791 | 800 | 948 |
| 840 | \sim | of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the Point in Time Count | 1,436 | 1,838 | 1,838 | 1,200 | 2,140 |
| Plan | n Devel | lopment and Implementation - Comprehensive Plan | ning | | | | |
| 841 | 1.75 | % of new development and redevelopment that occurs in the nner-loop | 17% | 16% | 24% | 19% | 19% |
| 842 | 9 | % Comprehensive plan policies in progress or implemented | 76% | 100% | 100% | 88% | 100% |
| 843 | | of Comprehensive Plan policies in progress or implemented each year | 236 | 310 | 310 | 274 | 310 |
| 844 | # | of square feet of development city wide | 20,407,001 | 28,713,876 | 24,715,187 | 26,000,000 | 26,266,930 |
| Plan | n Deve | lopment and Implementation - Neighborhood and C | ommercial Di | strict Revital | ization | | |
| 845 | 1.075 | % change in new construction and building remodels in Strong Neighborhoods Initiative (SNI) Neighborhoods | -71.75% | 17.25% | -71.75% | 10.00% | 10.00% |
| 846 | t | % of Commercial District Revitalization Program (CDRP) districts that maintain or increase in total market value from one year to the next | 93% | 86% | 86% | 100% | 100% |
| 847 | | % change in new construction and building remodels in CDRP, FIF, and BIDs | 57% | 102% | 102% | 7% | 7% |
| 848 | # | of events sponsored by the Districts in the CDRP Program | 110 | 167 | 182 | 112 | 175 |
| Plar | n Deve | lopment and Implementation - Transportation Planr | ning | | | | |
| 849 | 1.25 | % of bikewalkokc policies and initiatives completed, mplemented or in progress | 0% | 7% | 7% | 10% | 20% |
| 850 | 100 | % of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public cransportation | 2.09% | 1.84% | 1.84% | 2.16% | 2.38% |















| | | FY23 Actual | FY24 Actual | FY25 Projection | FY25 Target | FY26 Target |
|---------|---|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Plan De | evelopment and Implementation - Transportation Plan | ning | | | | |
| 851 | % reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries annually per capita | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5% | 10% |
| 352 | # of annual traffic fatalities (all modes) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 80 | 85 |
| 353 | # of crashes resulting in injuries (all modes) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2,195 | 2,085 |
| 354 | # of residents within a half mile of a bike facility | 93,542 | 98,345 | 98,345 | 112,250 | 117,862 |
| 355 | # of residents within a quarter mile of a sidewalk | 201,063 | 217,066 | 217,066 | 217,450 | 228,322 |
| 356 | Average commute time in Oklahoma City | N/A | 22.1000 | 22.1000 | 22.5000 | 22.5000 |
| ubdivi | sion and Zoning - Subdivision and Zoning | | | | | |
| 357 | % of applicants that receive a rezoning development application decision within 120 days of application submission | 8% | 100% | 100% | 98% | 100% |
| 358 | % of applicants proposing a new preliminary plat that receive a development application decision within 60 days of submission | 100% | 100% | 100% | 98% | 98% |
| 59 | Average # of days for applicants proposing a new subdivision to receive a development application decision | 49 | 57 | 57 | 50 | 50 |
| 60 | # of case maps created | 1,776 | 1,639 | 1,654 | 1,800 | 1,700 |
| 361 | # of zoning and subdivision applications processed | 960 | 1,116 | 1,058 | 800 | 900 |
| | | | | | | |













