OKLAHOMA CITY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC OK-502) PROJECT RANKING AND REVIEW

The Oklahoma City Continuum of Care (CoC OK-502) will use the following process to rank all CoC projects. The goal of using this procedure is to ensure prioritized activities with recognized positive outcomes are annually renewed, lower performing projects are reallocated to create new projects that achieve HUD's goals of preventing and ending homelessness and maximize the Continuum's performance and available funding.

Projects are scored using predominantly objective criteria including: project performance, access for hard to serve populations, HMIS data quality, financial performance and compliance with Federal and CoC requirements, and collaboration with other organizations within the CoC and use of the Coordinated Entry System.

All projects are scored using a scorecard developed by the CoC Lead Agency and approved by the CoC Board. Project applicants are required to submit applications with project performance criteria found in their HMIS generated Annual Performance Reports (APR). Applicants are required to submit a copy of their APR along with their application so that CoC Lead staff can verify accuracy of reported outcomes. Performance data is generated from outcomes for the most recently completed calendar year.

CoC Lead staff will review all applications to determine if the projects meet eligibility and threshold criteria. Projects that are ineligible or do not meet threshold criteria will be removed and no further review will be conducted. For eligible projects, staff will total scores for objective criteria prior to the CoC Allocations Committee meet to rank the projects. Final scores will be completed during the Allocations Committee meeting based on scores assigned to remaining criteria by the members of the Allocations Committee for which values can vary. Projects will then be ranked in order from highest scoring to lowest. Projects will fall into either Tier 1 or Tier 2 based on criteria determined by HUD annually. Tier 2 projects are at risk of not being renewed by HUD after submission of the CoC Consolidated Application.

Projects that are renewing for the first time, and subsequently have not completed a full project year and have not submitted an APR will not be scored but automatically ranked in Tier 1 and renewed unless otherwise determined by the Allocations Committee.

As new projects will not have performance criteria to base scored on, they will complete a narrative application describing the project being applied for. New project rankings will be determined by the Allocations Committee including project type and need and experience and record of the project applicant. Determination as to whether new projects will be ranked above or below lower ranking renewal projects in Tier 2 will be made by the Allocations Committee. This includes Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus projects. However, if no renewing DV projects are ranked in Tier 1, the Allocations Committee should rank the DV Bonus project in the first tier unless problems with the project or applicant indicate it is likely to perform poorly.

Other specific projects will also not be scored by automatically ranked in the first tier. HMIS and Coordinated Entry are HUD mandated activities for any Continuum and will be ranked in Tier 1 to ensure funding is secured. Youth Homeless Demonstration Projects (YHDP) were funded through a special initiative and funding is one-time. To ensure YHDP funding is not lost, YHDP projects may be reallocated/replaced by will be ranked in Tier 1 to ensure funding is not lost.

The Allocations Committee may use other, additional factors to determine project rank. These include the location of the project and whether it will increase access for people in need of assistance and the populations that will be served by the project and the current availability of programs addressing their needs.

Once rankings are finalized, they will be approved by the CoC Board

The Oklahoma City Continuum of Care (CoC OK-502) will use the following process to reallocate CoC renewal project funding to create new projects or expand existing project. The primary goal of this procedure is to ensure that the CoC maintain a high rate of performance by reallocating lower performing projects to create new projects or expand services that achieve HUD's goals of preventing and ending homelessness.

Involuntary Reallocation
 Projects scores and rankings will be determined by several criteria.

Performance
Renewal projects will be assessed on their project quality and ability to meet performance outcomes such as occupancy rates, participant income growth and successful exits.

Housing First/Low Barrier & Project Populations
The OKC CoC prioritizes assisting populations with the highest barriers to housing access through the CoC program. Projects will be evaluated based on the extent to which they remove barriers to housing access and degree to which they meet housing first requirements based on 13 criteria. Additionally, emphasis will be given to providing that access to difficult to serve populations.

HMIS Data Quality
All projects funded through the CoC Program are required to enter data on clients and client outcomes into the community's HMIS database within 72 hours of client project entry. Projects will be evaluated both on the timeliness of data entry and data quality.

Financial and Monitoring Outcomes
Projects will be evaluated on how well they performed financially during the contract period. Projects that return funds after the operating year will be scored lower than those that expend 100% of funding. Additionally, CoC Lead staff annually monitor all subrecipients for compliance with federal and local requirements.

Collaboration
All projects funded through the CoC Program are required to participate in the Coordinated Entry System (CES). All referrals for project entry must come through the CES.

Lower performance or lack of compliance in any of these areas will generate a lower project score leading to the project to be ranked lower on the CoC's priority listing. The priority listing will be divided into two tiers based on criteria determined annually. Tier 2 is regularly comprised of less of 10% of total funding for the CoC. Project that consistently rank in Tier 2 for two or more years will be considered for reallocation by the CoC Allocations Committee.

CoC projects that were funded under the Youth Homeless Demonstration Program initiative are eligible for replacement but not reallocation. If a YHDP project regularly performs poorly, an applicant may apply to close that project and use the funds to create a new project of a different type. Replacement projects may only be replaced to the same recipient of the current project, must be consistent with the CoC's Coordinated Community Plan for the YHDP program and must provide a letter approval from the CoC's Youth Action Board (YAB) to be considered for replacement.

Voluntary Reallocation

If a project performs poorly, cannot regularly utilize all allocated funding or the applicant no longer has the capacity to operate, the applicant may choose to voluntarily reallocate all or part of their project funding to expand higher performing projects or create new projects.

All reallocations must be approved by the CoC Board.

2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET	

AGENCY:
PROJECT:
PROJECT TYPE:
ALLOCATION:

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	*100% = 6 points* *<100% = 0 points*	
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	*No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points* *No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points* *Has documented participation = 5 points*	
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE	**Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report**	
Housing Stability	*95% = 6 points* *80% - 94% = 2 points* *<80% = 0 points*	
Total Income	*65% - 100% = 5 points* *40% - 64% = 3 points* *Below 40% = 0 points*	
Earned Income	*50% - 100% = 5 points* *10% - 49% = 3 points* *below 10% = 0 points*	
Entered From Streets	*50% - 100% = 5 points* *10% - 49% = 3 points* *Below 10% = 0 points*	
Exits to Homelessness	*5% or less = 5 points* *6% - 10% = 2 points* *11% - 25% = 1 point* *>25% = 0 points*	
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	*85% - 100% = 5 points* *60% - 84% = 3 points* *Below 60% = 0 points*	
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	*85% - 100% = 5 points* *60% - 84% = 3 points* *Below 60% = 0 points*	
Utilization Rate	*90% - 100% = 10 points* *75% - 89% = 5 points* *Below 75% = 0 points*	
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	*1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)*	
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Veteran Status	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Projet Start Date	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Head of Household	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Disabling Condition	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Destination	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Income at Entry	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Income at Annual Assessment	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Income at Exit	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
Chronicity	*0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points*	
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what precentage of funds were expended? *90% - 100% = 2 points* *> 90% = 0 points*	

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? *Yes = 2 points* *No = 0 points*	
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? *5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings*	

10) COLLABORATION

CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home *Participates in mutlitple committees & workgroups or has mulitple partnerships = 6 points* *Attends meetings periodically = 2 points* *No participation = 0 points*	

11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY

Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken *Active steps to identify barriers and Mulitple approaches taken = 6 points* *One/some approaches = 2 points* *No work = 0 points*	

12) OTHER

Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	*Annual assessment = 2 points* *No Annual assessmet = 0 points*	
TOTAL SCORE		

2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE BONUS PROJECT SCORING SHEET

AGENCY:
PROJECT:
PROJECT TYPE:
ALLOCATION:

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
BONUS APPLICATION TYPE		
Is Applicant applying for the Bonus Funding Priority Project	*Yes = 20* *No = 0 points*	
3) COLLABORATION		
Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home	*Participates in mutlitple committees & workgroups or has mulitple partnerships = 10 points* *Attends meetings periodically = 5 points* *No participation = 0 points*	
4) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	*Active steps to identify barriers and Mulitple approaches taken = 10 points* *One/some approaches = 5 points* *No work = 0 points*	
5) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	*Annual assessment = 5 points* *No Annual assessmet = 0 points*	
6) EXPERIENCE & CAPACITY		
Describe your agency's experience with Federal, state, and/or local government grants.	*Experience with multiple programs + designated staff addressing compliance = 20 points* *Experience with a program or some programs + staff partially designated to addressing compliance = 10 points* *No experience*	
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	*1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)*	
8) ACCESS TO PERMANENT HOUSING		
How will project quickly move participants into permanent housing?	*Applicant has well defined plan to quickly move clients into housing = Up to 15 points*	
9) HARD TO SERVE POPULATIONS (Non-DV Bonus Only)		
Applicant will serve all populations other than under age youth.	*Yes = 10 points* *No = 0 points*	
TOTAL SCORE		**0**

2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE DV BONUS PROJECT SCORING SHEET

AGENCY:
PROJECT:
PROJECT TYPE:
ALLOCATION:

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
BONUS APPLICATION TYPE (Non-DV Bonus Only)		
Is Applicant applying for the Bonus Funding Priority Project	*Yes = 20* *No = 0 points*	
3) COLLABORATION		
Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home	*Participates in mutlitple committees & workgroups or has mulitple partnerships = 10 points* *Attends meetings periodically = 5 points* *No participation = 0 points*	
4) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	*Active steps to identify barriers and Mulitple approaches taken = 10 points* *One/some approaches = 5 points* *No work = 0 points*	
5) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	*Annual assessment = 5 points* *No Annual assessmet = 0 points*	
6) EXPERIENCE & CAPACITY		
Describe your agency's experience with Federal, state, and/or local government grants.	*Experience with multiple programs + designated staff addressing compliance = 20 points* *Experience with a program or some programs + staff partially designated to addressing compliance = 10 points* *No experience*	
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	*1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)*	
8) ACCESS TO PERMANENT HOUSING		
How will project quickly move participants into permanent housing?	*Applicant has well defined plan to quickly move clients into housing = Up to 15 points*	
9) HARD TO SERVE POPULATIONS (Non-DV Bonus Only)		
Applicant will serve all populations other than under age youth.	*Yes = 10 points* *No = 0 points*	
TOTAL SCORE		**0**