Planning

FY20 Actual FY21 Actual FY22 Projection FY22 Target FY23 Target

Long-Term Issue - Community Development

Poverty, homelessness, lack of quality affordable housing, concerns about the quality of education, decreasing community involvement in neighborhood schools, and declining community vitality, if not adequately addressed, will result in:

- Increased crime and decreased perception of public safety;
- Continued decline in public health;
- Reduced ability to meet demand for public services;
- Decreased property values and neighborhood decline;
- Reduced ability to attract economic development;
- Reduced ability to improve education outcomes;
- Increased cost burden for low and moderate-income households; and
- Decreased satisfaction in community appearance.

Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue

- Stabilize neighborhoods through the Strong Neighborhoods Initiative.
- Strengthen at-risk neighborhoods through the use of community development and social services programs.
- Strengthen relationships with partners, including the Public School Districts and the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, to develop programs and strategies targeted to the specific needs of the community.
- Enhance community appearance through improving design and development regulations and facilitating public art projects.
- Seek additional partners and resources to increase permanent supportive housing and emergency shelters for homeless families and individuals.
- Concentrate community development resources in target revitalization areas for economic development, housing activities and public facilities for low and moderate-income populations.

Strategic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term Issue

Annually, the Planning Department will address homelessness and concerns about community vitality as evidenced by:

\$

- 85% of people who are homeless who remain in permanent housing for more than six months.
- The percent of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city is above the national average, as reported by the annual Citizen Survey.
- The percent of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety is above the national average, as reported by the annual Citizen Survey.
- 784% of people who are homeless who remain in permanent83%85%85%90%90%housing for more than six months

		Planning				
		FY20 Actual	FY21 Actual	FY22 Projection	FY22 Target	FY23 Target
Long-Te	erm Issue - Community Development					
785	% of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the city	56%	60%	60%	55%	56%
786	% of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with their feeling of safety	52%	57%	57%	53%	55%



Planning FY20 Actual FY21 Actual FY22 Projection FY22 Target FY23 Target Long-Term Issue - Urban Revitalization If not addressed, older districts and neighborhoods will continue to experience increased vulnerability and decline, as evidenced by: A lack of quality, affordable central city neighborhoods driving population to outlying areas with better public education; Higher costs to the City to provide services for residents and businesses; The inability to generate optimal tax revenue to pay for essential City services; Continued deterioration of aging commercial districts and neighborhoods; Inadequate number of quality, affordable residential products; Underutilization of existing properties and infrastructure; A lack of transportation options; and Lost opportunities for economic development.

Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue

- Guide the allocation of resources including grant funds and capital expenditures as stated in planokc.
- Focus Planning Department capacity and technical assistance on revitalization areas.
- Strengthen capacity of commercial districts to deliver more meaningful social, economic, and aesthetic results through the Commercial District Revitalization Program.
- Strategically concentrate neighborhood revitalization efforts in the Strong Neighborhoods Initiative areas to reverse decline and leverage private investment and support.

Strategic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term Issue

Annually, the Planning Department will influence revitalization and redevelopment within the urbanized areas of the city as evidenced by:

- 100% of participating Commercial District Revitalization Program districts will maintain or increase total property value.
- 65% of citizens surveyed will be satisfied with each attribute in response to the following question: Please rate your satisfaction with the following attributes of your neighborhood: - Safety - Appearance - Property Maintenance - Sense of Community - Amenities (parks, sidewalks, street trees) - Overall Quality 787 % of commercial properties in each Commercial District in the 86% 57% 57% 85% 85%
- CDRP that have maintained or increased in market value from one year to the next according to the Oklahoma County Assessor 788 🚱 % of citizens satisfied with neighborhood attributes 62% 54% 54% 61% 58%

Planning

FY20 Actual FY21 Actual FY22 Projection FY22 Target FY23 Target

Long-Term Issue - Sustainable Growth

Failure to guide the city's growth in a way that promotes quality of life, efficient service provision, and human, environmental, and economic health will result in:

- Increased costs to provide public services;
- Lower level of City services;
- Diminished neighborhood stability and durability;
- Exacerbation of public health issues;
- Continued stress on capacity of public schools to improve educational outcomes;
- Reduced functionality of the multi-modal transportation system and capacity to support it;
- Reduction in quality, accessibility, and availability of natural resources (air, water, natural areas);
- Increased number of vacant, abandoned and dilapidated buildings and properties;
- Diminished options for access to community services and employment opportunities;
- Increased infrastructure construction and maintenance costs for taxpayers;
- Failure to meet federal air quality standards will impact federal funding, efficiency of transportation infrastructure projects, and the ability to support new or expanding industry;
- Diminished attractiveness for economic development;
- Increased economic and social disparity; and
- Diminished ability to meet community demand for quality of life services and amenities.

Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue

- Implement Comprehensive Plan (planokc);
- Develop, adopt and implement the Sustainability Plan.
 - Establish a full-time Urban Forester position to: develop and manage an Urban Forestry Management and Reforestation Plan; utilize grants, leverage community resources, and form public/private partnerships to assist with tree planting, care, and maintenance; and provide internal assistance in coordinating any type of emergency service that impacts trees in the event of an ice storm, tornado, wildfires, or any other natural disaster.
- Develop policies, strategies, and incentives to increase the variety of housing types and affordability.
- Promote inner-city development and redevelopment through the use of incentives, design review consultations and coordination with other City agencies and the development community.
- Modify codes, regulations and policies to ensure consistency with the comprehensive plan (planokc).
- Promote the implementation of a multi-modal transportation system.



		Planning				
		FY20 Actual	FY21 Actual	FY22 Projection	FY22 Target	FY23 Target
Long-1	Ferm Issue - Sustainable Growth					
Stra	tegic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term	Issue				
	Planning Department will use planokc to guide the city's growth in a v economic health as evidenced by:	vay that promotes qu	ality of life, effici	ency of service provi	ision, and human	, environmental,
	Annually, 20% of development/redevelopment will be in the inner-loo	p annually.				
■ .	Annually, 100% of rezoning decisions by Council will be consistent wit	h the Comprehensive	Plan.			
-	Increase the percentage of commute trips made by walking, bicycling,	, or public transportat	ion from 2.13% t	to 2.16% by 2024.		
789	% of new development and redevelopment that occurs in the inner-loop	20%	15%	13%	11%	19%
790	% of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the comprehensive plan	95%	96%	97%	100%	100%
791	% of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation	2.32%	2.21%	2.10%	2.15%	2.15%



Planning

FY20 Actual FY21 Actual FY22 Projection FY22 Target FY23 Target

Long-Term Issue - Effective Collaboration and Coordination Inadequate coordination between all City departments and partner agencies in the development and implementation of the City's projects, plans, policies and goals, if not addressed, will result in: *Inefficient use of City resources;* Inefficient and unsustainable growth; Poor execution of City policies, plans and Council priorities; and Increased project costs, delays and diminished citizen confidence. Strategies to address the Long-Term Issue Build and maintain a strong relationships with Public School Districts, Association of Central Oklahoma Governments, Local Art Agencies, City Departments, and others. Identify and recruit interested and involved stakeholders to participate on planning and implementation teams. Establish and solidify agreements committing resources and staff with departments to assist with implementing initiatives that have cross-departmental issues. Strategic Result(s) to measure annual progress on Long-Term Issue The Planning Department will help facilitate better participation and support from other City departments, school systems and other agencies as evidenced by: 100% of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified. 792 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified Administrative - Executive Leadership 793 % of key measures and strategic results achieved 63% 44% 44% 75% 75% 794 95% % of performance evaluations completed by the review date 95% 85% 71% 95% 795 56% 60% 60% 56% % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with 55% the appearance of the city 796 55% % of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with 52% 57% 57% 53% their feeling of safety Administrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs 797 % change in investment in public art 74% 5% 5% -1% 10% 798 28 25 34 # of 1% for Art projects in progress 26 24

11

28

21

15

of City funded 1% for Art projects installed

799

18

		Planning				
		FY20 Actual	FY21 Actual	FY22 Projection	FY22 Target	FY23 Target
Admin	istrative - Arts and Cultural Affairs					
800	# of City owned public art assets	200	219	204	233	228
801	# of new art and cultural projects managed	27	25	28	20	22
802	# of public art projects installed	23	22	31	20	20
803	# of 1% for Art projects funded	32	38	55	38	40
804	# of new art and cultural projects requested	378	395	367	350	350
Admin	istrative - Grant and Financial Management Program	า				
805	$ m \ref{scheme}$ % of grant awards that are in compliance with the terms of thei agreement	r 100%	100%	99%	100%	100%
806	# of grant sub-recipients agreements managed	37	33	59	42	45
Admin	istrative - Office of Sustainability					
807	% of identified sustainability measures meeting or exceeding target	45%	27%	27%	50%	50%
808	# of outreach and education event participants	497	915	202	750	700
809	# of outreach and education events held	21	35	5	25	25
810	# of residential energy efficiency loans closed	6	2	0	10	8
811	\$ of residential energy efficiency loans closed	40,913.91	40,307.84	4,627.72	75,000.00	50,000.00
812	# of residential energy efficiency loan contacts received	1,213	987	866	1,500	1,200
Comm	unity Development - Community Development					
813	ho \$ value of non-City investment per \$ value of City investment	0.43	0.55	0.55	0.40	0.55
814	% of citizens satisfied with neighborhood attributes	62%	54%	54%	61%	58%
815	% of residents that describe their perceptions of safety and security in their neighborhoods as safe or very safe in the City's annual Citizen Survey	N/A	73%	73%	70%	72%
816	% of residents that say they are satisfied or very satisfied with property maintenance in their neighborhoods in the City's annual Citizen Survey	N/A	64%	64%	60%	62%

×

\$

FY23 Performance Supplemental

Ô

FY22 ActualFY22 ActualFY22 ProjectionFY22 TargetFY22 TargetCommunity Development 4^{17} # of down payment assistances provided3128203030 4^{13} # of housing rehabilitations completed22511594200150 4^{19} # of housing units assisted or built through all program services23711594250175 8^{20} # of new housing units constructed32131010Community Development - Homelessness Services821% of people who are homeless housed through the Continuum of Care Program709900900 8^{21} # of People who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the Point in Time Count1,5731,5731,5731,2001,200Reference of the continuum of Care Program 8^{24} % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with Point in Time Count95%96%100%100%Continuum of Care Program8447974100100 8^{25} % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with where cross-departments will participate in planning initiatives100%100%100%8447974100100 8^{25} # of board of adjustment applications reviewed847974100100 8^{26} # of orzoning applications reviewed by staff3244			Planning					
817 # of down payment assistances provided 31 28 20 30 30 818 # of housing rehabilitations completed 225 115 94 200 150 819 # of housing units assisted or built through all program services 237 115 94 250 175 820 # of new housing units constructed 3 21 3 10 10 Community Development - Homelesses Services 821 % of people who are homeless who remain in permanent housing for more than six months 83% 85% 85% 90% 90% 822 # of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the Continuum of Care Program 747 709 709 900 900 Current Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning 824 % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with 95% 96% 96% 100% 100% Not of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives have been identified 84 79 74 100 100 So of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives have been identified <td cols<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th>FY20 Actual</th><th>FY21 Actual</th><th>FY22 Projection</th><th>FY22 Target</th><th>FY23 Target</th></td>	<th></th> <th></th> <th>FY20 Actual</th> <th>FY21 Actual</th> <th>FY22 Projection</th> <th>FY22 Target</th> <th>FY23 Target</th>			FY20 Actual	FY21 Actual	FY22 Projection	FY22 Target	FY23 Target
11010101010813# of housing rehabilitations completed22511594200150813# of housing units assisted or built through all program services23711594250175820# of new housing units constructed32131010Community Development - Homeless who remain in permanent821% of people who are homeless who remain in permanent83%85%85%90%90%822# of People who are homeless housed through the Continuum of Care Program747709709900900823@W# of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the Point in Time Count1,5731,5731,5731,2001,200Current Planning824% of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with where cross-departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departments will participate in planning initiatives and a colspan="4">100% 100%825# of board adjustment applications reviewed847974100100827# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff3244523050828# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design and Community Appearance829% of change in property		· · · ·						
101101103103104113113113113113113114115113110113115113110110113114114114114113115113110110113114114114114113115113110110113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114113114114114114114114114114114115114116114114114114114114114115114114114114115114114114114115114114114114115114114114114115114114114114115114114114114115114114114114116114114<	817	# of down payment assistances provided	31	28	20	30	30	
The original parameter is of problem in program between the parameter in t	818	# of housing rehabilitations completed	225	115	94	200	150	
If a b the holding under constructed by the previous of the community Development - Homeless sector cesCommunity Development - Homeless swho remain in permanent housing for more than six months 3^{22} # of People who are homeless who remain in permanent housing for more than six months 83% 85% 85% 90% 3^{22} # of People who are formerly homeless housed through the Continuum of Care Program 747 709 709 900 900 3^{23} (i): # of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the point in Time Count $1,573$ $1,573$ $1,573$ $1,200$ $1,200$ Current Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning 3^{24} (ii) % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the opperandent swill participate in planning initiatives the comprehensive plan 100% 100% 100% 100% 3^{25} % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives the operandent swill participate in planning initiatives the operandent set of program the plan time operande the theorem operande set of the preliminary plats reviewed by staff 32 44 52 30 50 3^{27} # of preliminary plats reviewed by staff 32 44 52 30 50 3^{28} # of rezoning applications reviewed by staff 243 258 262 200 250 Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance823(ii) of chittens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the commu	819	# of housing units assisted or built through all program services	237	115	94	250	175	
821% of people who are homeless who remain in permanent housing for more than six months83%85%85%90%90% 8^{22} # of People who were formerly homeless housed through the Continuum of Care Program747709709900900 8^{23} @# of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the point in Time Count1,5731,5731,5731,2001,200Current Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning 8^{24} % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the comprehensive plan95%96%96%100%100% 8^{25} % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified3244523050 8^{27} # of board of adjustment applications reviewed by staff3244523050 8^{28} # of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance88360%60%60%60% 8^{39} % of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7% 8^{39} % of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7% 8^{30} % of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7% 8^{31} # of applications reviewed in design districts656518	820	# of new housing units constructed	3	21	3	10	10	
housing for more than its monthsboxboxboxboxboxboxB22# of People who were formerly homeless housed through the Continuum of Care Program747709709900900B23@# of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the Point in Time Count1,5731,5731,5731,2001,200B24 \mathbb{P} % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the comprehensive plan95%96%96%100%100%B25% of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified100%100%100%100%B26# of board of adjustment applications reviewed847974100100B27# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff3244523050B28# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance8437974100100%B27% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%5%7%7%B30% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%B31# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650B32# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	Comm	unity Development - Homelessness Services						
Continuum of Care Program823 (a)# of people who are homeless in Oklahoma City according to the Point in Time Count1,5731,5731,5731,2001,200Current Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning824% of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the comprehensive plan95%96%96%100%100%825% of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified100%100%100%100%826# of board of adjustment applications reviewed847974100100827# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff3244523050828# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design and Community Appearance829% of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community67%60%60%65%60%830% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	821	* 1 1	83%	85%	85%	90%	90%	
Point in Time Count Current Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning 824 % of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the comprehensive plan 95% 96% 100% 100% 825 % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 826 # of board of adjustment applications reviewed 84 79 74 100 100 827 # of preliminary plats reviewed by staff 32 44 52 30 50 828 # of rezoning applications reviewed by staff 243 258 262 200 250 Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance 829 % of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community 67% 60% 60% 65% 60% 830 % of change in property values within all Design Districts 12% -5% -5% 7% 7% 831 # of applications reviewed in design districts 656 518 615 650 650 <t< td=""><td>822</td><td></td><td>747</td><td>709</td><td>709</td><td>900</td><td>900</td></t<>	822		747	709	709	900	900	
824 the comprehensive plan% of rezoning decisions by City Council that are consistent with the comprehensive plan95% 96%96% 96%100% 100%100% 100%825% of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified100%100%100%100%826# of board of adjustment applications reviewed847974100100827# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff3244523050828# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance829% of charge in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%60%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	823		e 1,573	1,573	1,573	1,200	1,200	
825 % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified 100% 100% 100% 100% 825 % of affected departments will participate in planning initiatives where cross-departmental issues have been identified 100% 100% 100% 100% 826 # of board of adjustment applications reviewed 84 79 74 100 100 827 # of preliminary plats reviewed by staff 32 44 52 30 50 828 # of rezoning applications reviewed by staff 243 258 262 200 250 Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance 829 % of change in property values within all Design Districts 12% -5% 60% 65% 60% 830 % of change in property values within all Design Districts 12% -5% 5% 7% 7% 831 # of applications reviewed in design districts 656 518 615 650 650 832 # of Historic Landmark Designations approved 2 0 0 2 2	Currer	nt Planning and Urban Design - Current Planning						
where cross-departmental issues have been identified100%100%100%100%100%826# of board of adjustment applications reviewed847974100100827# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff3244523050828# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance829% of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community67%60%60%65%60%830% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	824		95%	96%	96%	100%	100%	
# of board of adjustment applications reviewed047574100100827# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff3244523050828# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance829% of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community67%60%60%65%60%830% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	825		s 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
828# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff243258262200250Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance829% of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community67%60%60%65%60%830% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	826	# of board of adjustment applications reviewed	84	79	74	100	100	
243258262260256Current Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Community Appearance829 [°] % of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community 67% 60% 60% 65% 65% 60% 830 60% 65% 65% 60% 831 # of applications reviewed in design districts 12% 656 518 615 650 650 650 832 # of Historic Landmark Designations approved 2 0 0 2 2	827	# of preliminary plats reviewed by staff	32	44	52	30	50	
829% of citizens surveyed who say they are satisfied or very satisfied with the appearance of the community67%60%60%65%60%830% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	828	# of rezoning applications reviewed by staff	243	258	262	200	250	
830% of change in property values within all Design Districts12%-5%-5%7%7%831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	Currer	nt Planning and Urban Design - Urban Design and Cor	mmunity Appeara	ance				
831# of applications reviewed in design districts656518615650650832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	829		67%	60%	60%	65%	60%	
# of Applications reviewed in design districts050510015050832# of Historic Landmark Designations approved20022	830	% of change in property values within all Design Districts	12%	-5%	-5%	7%	7%	
	831	# of applications reviewed in design districts	656	518	615	650	650	
833# of National Register Nominations reviewed87677	832	# of Historic Landmark Designations approved	2	0	0	2	2	
	833	# of National Register Nominations reviewed	8	7	6	7	7	

	P	lanning				
		FY20 Actual	FY21 Actual	FY22 Projection	FY22 Target	FY23 Target
Plan [Development and Implementation - Comprehensive Pla	nning				
834	% of new development and redevelopment that occurs in the inner-loop	20%	15%	16%	11%	19%
835	% Comprehensive plan policies in progress or completed	77%	77%	77%	76%	76%
836	# of Comprehensive Plan policies in progress or completed each year	239	239	239	236	236
837	# of square feet of development city wide	29,595,900	29,625,835	28,115,539	21,000,000	26,000,000
Plan I	Development and Implementation - Neighborhood and	Commercial Di	strict Revitali	ization		
838	% change in new construction and building remodels in Strong Neighborhoods Initiative (SNI) Neighborhoods	N/A	20.80%	20.80%	5.00%	10.00%
839	% of commercial properties in each Commercial District in the CDRP that have maintained or increased in market value from one year to the next according to the Oklahoma County Assessor	86%	57%	57%	85%	85%
840	% change in new construction and building remodels in CDRP, TIF, and BIDs	13%	-67%	-67%	7%	7%
841	# of events sponsored by the Districts in the CDRP Program	95	98	91	100	100
Plan I	Development and Implementation - Transportation Pla	nning				
842	% of commute trips made by walking, bicycling, or public transportation	2.32%	2.21%	2.10%	2.15%	2.15%
843	# of miles bicycle facilities built	0	0	0	10	10
844	# of miles of sidewalk built	22	56	31	40	40